



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/509,944 | 10/04/2004 | Martin Konemann | 259560US0PCT | 4976 |
| 22850 | 7590 | 09/15/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/15/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/509,944 | Applicant(s) KONEMANN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Joseph D. Anthony | Art Unit 1796 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph D. Anthony. (3) ____.

(2) David P. Stitzel. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 03 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Talked about amending claim 1 to wherein the percentage concentration range (0.05 to 100%) of R1, R2, R3 present are in reference to the composition as a whole of the cyclic compounds represented by formula (I) and not each individual molecule/compound. In regards to claim 2 the numbers are deemed to be actual numbers of the R1, R2, R3 groups in the compound and not percentages.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Joseph D. Anthony/ Primary Examiner | |
|---|--|